

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1074****September Term, 2014**

NLRB-31CA028667  
NLRB-31CA028889  
NLRB-31CA028738  
NLRB-31CA028944  
NLRB-31CA028589  
NLRB-31CA029076  
NLRB-31CA029099  
NLRB-31CA028661  
NLRB-31CA029124  
NLRB-31CA028700  
NLRB-31CA029032  
NLRB-31CA028890  
NLRB-31CA028734  
NLRB-31CA028733  
NLRB-31CA028799

**Filed On: May 29, 2015** [1554892]

Ampersand Publishing, LLC,

Petitioner

v.

National Labor Relations Board,

Respondent

-----  
Graphics Communications Conference of  
the International Brotherhood of Teamsters,  
Intervenor  
-----

Consolidated with 15-1130

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for leave to intervene filed by:

Graphics Communications Conference of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters,

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1074****September Term, 2014**

it is **ORDERED** that the motion be granted.

Circuit Rules 28(d) and 32(a)(2) govern the filing of briefs by intervenors. A schedule for the filing of briefs will be established by future order. That order will automatically provide briefing only for intervenors on the side of respondents. Any intervenor(s) intending to participate in support of petitioners must so notify the court, in writing, within 14 days of the date of this order. Such notification must include a statement of the issues to be raised by the intervenor(s). This notification will allow tailoring of the briefing schedule to provide time for a brief as intervenor on the side of petitioners. Failure to submit notification could result in an intervenor being denied leave to file a brief.

Intervenors supporting the same party are reminded that they **must** file a joint brief or certify to the court why a separate brief is necessary. Intervenors' attention is particularly directed to D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 38-39 (2013), which describes "unacceptable" grounds for filing separate briefs. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Ken R. Meadows  
Deputy Clerk